

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/660,107 09/11/2003 HGM-104-A 6858 Tomohisa Abe **EXAMINER** 21828 7590 05/10/2005 CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES NGO, LIEN M 24101 NOVI ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 100 NOVI, MI 48375 3727

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/660,107	ABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LIEN TM NGO	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTitute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ The 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4,6,9,10 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,7 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• •	·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Profesorous's Potent Province Review (PTO 848)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/660,107 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berthiaume (6,592,415) in view of Wehle et al. (5,320,240) or Schlessmann et al. (5,165,565).

Berthiaume discloses, in figs. 10 and 11A, a watercraft comprising a fuel fill member 152 having a tubular routing structure with and a stopper member, a fill cap 140, and wherein the routing structure comprising a fuel filling portion formed at an outer end and a plural hose connecting portions at the other end (see fig. 11A).

Berthiaume does not teach a chain having a protective tube, the chain having one end fastened to the inside of the routing structure and the other end fastened to the fill cap.

Wehle et al. or Schlessmann et al. teach a fuel cap comprising a chain having a protective tube, and the chain having one end fastened to the inside of the routing structure and the other end fastened to the fuel cap.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Berthiaume cap with a chain Application/Control Number: 10/660,107 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

having a protective tube, the chain having one end fastened to the inside of the routing structure and the other end fastened to the fill cap, as taught by Wehle et al. or Schlessmann et al., in order to prevent the fuel cap from an accidental lost.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-4, 6, 9, 10 and 12 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,5, 7 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/660,107 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

May 5, 2005